IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 747 of 2005

Between:

Shaik Abdul Khader Baba, S/o. Abdul Azeez,
Guntur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of A.P., rep. by its Secretary, Home Department,

Secretariat, Hyd.
2 The Superintendent of Police, Prakasam District, at Ongole.
3 The Deputy Superintendent of Police, markapur, Prakasam District.
4 The Inspector of Police, Markapur Town Police Station, Markapur,

Prakasam District.
5 The Sub-Inspector of Police, markapur Police Station, Markapur,

Prakasam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring the action on the part of the respondents and their subordinates in interfering with the lawful activities of the Petitioner as Baba of Jamadia Khadiria Chistri situated near Peda Peerla Chavidi Old Town Macherla, Guntur District, as arbitrary illegal and violative of Article 25 of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct the respondents and their subordinates not to interfere with the lawful activities of the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.P.RAMABHOOPAL REDDY
Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 5: GP FOR HOME

The Court at the stage of admission made the following ORDER:

Petitioner claims to be the person, spreading spiritual activities among the persons, who approach him. He seeks a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents not to interfere with his lawful activities as Baba of Jumadia Khadiria Chistri, near Peda Peerla Chavidi, old town, Macherla, Guntur district. He claims to have filed Crl.M.P.No.16 of 2005 in the Court of Principal Sessions Judge, Ongole, seeking anticipatory bail. It is said to have been dismissed on the ground that no case, as such, was registered against the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Home.

Even from the perusal of the entire affidavit, no specific act attributable to the respondents is evident. Much of the affidavit is devoted in referring to the activities of, and action taken against one Julakanti Siva Ram Reddy, who is said to be the disciple of the petitioner. It is not even alleged that the respondents have interfered with any particular acts or activities of the petitioner. The serious most allegation, as contained in the affidavit, is that the respondents are doubting about the affairs of the petitioner. Even if the same is true, the petitioner does not have any right to insist that nobody should doubt his activities. It is too difficult for this Court to grant any relief to the petitioner

on the strength of such vague and baseless allegations.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed, leaving it open to the petitioner to approach this Court as and when he feels any specific grievance at the hands of the respondents. There shall be no order as to costs.

27.01.2005

ksld

To

1 The Government of A.P., rep. by its Secretary, Home Department,

Secretariat, Hyd.
2 The Superintendent of Police, Prakasam District, at Ongole.
3 The Deputy Superintendent of Police, markapur, Prakasam District.
4 The Inspector of Police, Markapur Town Police Station, Markapur,

Prakasam District.
5 The Sub-Inspector of Police, markapur Police Station, Markapur,

Prakasam District.

6 Two CCs. to the G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P., Hydeabad(OUT).

7 Two CD copies.